## CASE NO. VOL. NO. PAGE

MICHELIN NORTH - and - RICHARD ROSS, THE NOVA

AMERICA (CANADA) INC. SCOTIA WORKERS'

**COMPENSATION APPEALS** 

TRIBUNAL, and THE

WORKERS'

**COMPENSATION BOARD** 

OF NOVA SCOTIA

(Appellant) (Respondents)

CA 177445 Halifax, N.S. Freeman, J.A. (Orally)

[Cite as: Michelin North America (Canada) Inc. v. Nova Scotia (Workers' Compensation Board), 2002 NSCA 87]

**APPEAL HEARD:** June 17, 2002

**JUDGMENT DELIVERED:** June 17, 2002

WRITTEN RELEASE OF ORAL: June 19, 2002

**SUBJECT:** Application for Leave to Appeal — s. 256 Workers

Compensation Act, S.N.S. 1994-95, c. 10 — Shiftwork

**Maladaption Syndrome** 

**SUMMARY:** The appellant employer sought leave pursuant to s. 256 of the

Workers' Compensation Act to appeal a decision by the Workers' Compensation Appeals Tribunal awarding compensation to the respondent employee, a shiftworker, who complained of symptoms related to shiftwork maladaption syndrome. The appellant argued that the Tribunal had misinterpreted and misapplied Metropolitan Entertainment Group v. Durnford (2000), 188 N.S.R. (2d) 318

(C.A.) and s. 187 of the *Act*.

**ISSUE:** Did the appellant's application for leave raise an arguable issue?

## **RESULT:**

Leave to appeal was granted on two grounds, that the Tribunal exceeded its jurisdiction and committed an error of law in its interpretation and application of the *Metropolitan Entertainment Group v. Durnford (supra)* case, and that the Tribunal committed an error of law in its interpretation and application of s. 187 of the *Act*.

This information sheet does not form part of the court's judgment. Quotes must be from the judgment, not this cover sheet. The full court judgment consists of 1 page.