

<u>CASE NO.</u>	<u>VOL. NO.</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
GREGORY PETER SHACKLOCK	- and -	HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN
Appellant		Respondent
	- and -	
BRIAN WAYNE MUNDLE	- and -	HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN
Appellant		Respondent
CA's 163451 & 163452	Halifax	ROSCOE, J.A.

---

---

[Cite as: R. v. Shacklock, 2000 NSCA 120]

**APPEAL HEARD:** October 17, 2000

**JUDGMENT DELIVERED:** October 25, 2000

**SUBJECT:** Criminal Law - Sentence Appeal

**SUMMARY:** The appellants pleaded guilty to possession of cannabis marijuana for the purpose of trafficking, after having been apprehended while tending 214 marijuana plants in a field in a rural area. The Supreme Court Judge imposed sentences of eighteen months incarceration to be followed by one year probation.

**ISSUES:** Whether the sentencing judge erred in principle in failing to address s.718.2(e), whether the sentences were unfit.

**RESULT:** Appeals dismissed. No error of principle. The sentences were not clearly excessive.

**THIS INFORMATION SHEET DOES NOT FORM PART OF THE COURT'S JUDGMENT. QUOTES MUST BE FROM THE JUDGMENT, NOT FROM THIS COVER SHEET. THE FULL COURT JUDGMENT CONSISTS OF 7 PAGES.**