<u>CASE NO.</u> <u>VOL. NO.</u> <u>PAGE</u>

DANIEL INNOCENTE - and - **HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN**

(Appellant) (Respondent)

CAC 155817 Halifax, N.S. Chipman, J.A.

[Cite as: R. v. Innocente, 2000 NSCA 74]

APPEAL HEARD: January 28, 2000

SUPPLEMENTARY

SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED: April 13, 2000 and April 27, 2000

JUDGMENT DELIVERED: June 7, 2000

SUBJECT: Criminal Law - Appeal from convictions following jury

trial of conspiracy to traffic in cannabis resin.

SUMMARY: The appellant, self-represented, was convicted following a jury trial on

two counts of conspiring to traffic in cannabis resin. Represented by counsel on appeal, he submitted that the trial judge made a number of

errors including failure to order that his trial not proceed without

counsel, failure to grant an adjournment long enough to enable him to get counsel who were willing to act, failure to order further disclosure, permitting oath helping of a Crown witness, the admission of other prejudicial evidence and allowing two counts of conspiracy to go to the

jury when the evidence supported only one.

ISSUE: Did the trial judge err?

RESULT: The Appeal Court reviewed the evidence and the issues and

concluded that the trial judge had not erred except that the evidence pointed to but one conspiracy and only one conviction should have been entered. The conviction on the second count was set aside

otherwise the appeal was dismissed.

This information sheet does not form part of the court's judgment. Quotes must be from the judgment, not this cover sheet. The full court judgment consists of 58 pages.