

NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL

Citation: *G. D. v. Family and Children's Services of Lunenburg County*,
2003 NSCA 123

Date: 20031119
Docket: CA 201921
Registry: Halifax

Between:

G. D.

Appellant

v.

Family and Children's Services of Lunenburg County

Respondent

JUDGE: Cromwell, J.A.

APPEAL HEARD: October 27, 2003

JUDGMENT DELIVERED: November 19, 2003

SUBJECT: **Child Protection - Permanent Care Order - Less Intrusive Alternatives and Provision of Services**

SUMMARY: The Family Court ordered that the appellant's two boys, aged 6 and 7, be placed in the permanent care and custody of the respondent agency without access. The appellant appealed arguing that the agency had failed in its duty to provide services and that the judge had erred in concluding that less intrusive orders, including the provision of services to promote the integrity of the family, had been attempted and failed or would be inadequate to protect the children.

ISSUE: Did the judge err in law or fact in reaching this decision?

RESULT: Appeal dismissed. The judge considered the relevant matters under the Statute. He made no error in legal principle. His findings of fact were fully supported by the record before him.

This information sheet does not form part of the court's judgment. Quotes must be from the judgment, not this cover sheet. The full court judgment consists of 24 pages.