

<u>CASE NO.</u>	<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
H. (N.M.), a young offender (Appellant)	- and -	HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN (Respondent)
C.A.C. No. 160162	Halifax, N.S.	Glube, C.J.N.S. (orally)

[Cite as R. v. N.M.H., 2000 NSCA 109]

APPEAL HEARD: October 3, 2000

JUDGMENT DELIVERED: October 3, 2000

WRITTEN RELEASE OF ORAL: October 5, 2000

SUBJECT: **APPEAL OF CONVICTION**

SUMMARY: N.M.H. convicted as a party of robbery with violence. She gave information to two youths who on that basis committed a home robbery. Although they anticipated finding a large sum of money, less than \$100.00 was found, which they did not split with N.M.H. The two youths plead guilty and testified against N.M.H.

ISSUE: Was the verdict unreasonable. Was there a miscarriage of justice.

RESULT: After making findings of credibility of facts, the trial judge does not have to address and resolve each and every inconsistency in the evidence of the two youths. (See: **R. v. Burns** (1994), 89 C.C.C. (3d) 193.)

Verdict not unreasonable and no miscarriage of justice.

Appeal dismissed.

<p>This information sheet does not form part of the Court's judgment. Quotes must be from the judgment, not this cover sheet. The full court judgment consists of 6 pages.</p>
