## **NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL**

Citation: R. v. Stewart, 2016 NSCA 12

Date: 20160224 Docket: CAC 419615 Registry: Halifax

**Between:** 

Dennis Garry Stewart

**Appellant** 

V.

Her Majesty the Queen

Respondent

## Restriction on Publication: s. 486 CC

**Judge:** The Honourable Justice Duncan R. Beveridge

**Appeal Heard:** January 20, 2016, in Halifax, Nova Scotia

Subject: Criminal law: Sentencing; credit for time spent in pre-

sentence custody

**Summary:** The trial judge cited the principles of sentencing, and took

into account the circumstances of the offences, and those of

the appellant to arrive at what he considered were fit

sentences for a series of offences committed by the appellant between July 2011 and January 2012. The appellant was in pre-sentence custody (PSC) for more than nineteen months. The appellant committed some of the offences while he was

out on bail.

The trial judge refused to grant one-to-one credit for the time

the appellant was in PSC, instead reducing it to twelve months' credit on the basis that the later offences were

committed by the appellant in direct violation of the terms of

his release.

**Issues:** 

- (1) Did the trial judge err in law in refusing to grant one-to-one credit for the appellant's time in PSC?
- (2) Even if he did err, should this Court nonetheless uphold the resulting sentence as being fit?

**Result:** 

Leave to appeal is granted, and the appeal allowed to the extent that the 580 days the appellant spent in PSC should have been credited against his sentence. The trial judge had already referred to the aggravating fact that the later offences were committed in direct violation of the terms of his release to not associate with any person under the age of 16 years, and sentenced the appellant to terms of imprisonment for those very violations. Further, the trial judge determined that a fit sentence for the offence that led to the PSC to be one of three years' imprisonment. There was no legal basis to deny at least one-to-one credit for the time he spent in PSC. It is not the function of the appeal court to determine afresh what may or may not be a fit sentence. There was no complaint of error by the trial judge about the sentences imposed, only in failing to grant the proper credit for PSC. The correct approach is for this Court to correct the error while otherwise deferring to the sentence arrived at by the trial judge.

This information sheet does not form part of the court's judgment. Quotes must be from the judgment, not this cover sheet. The full court judgment consists of 17 pages.