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SUBJECT: Costs - party and party - Tariff A to the Cost and Fees Act, R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 104, Scale 5 - Non- monetary issue

SUMMARY: The appellant, beneficial minority shareholders and directors of Halifax Cable claimed the majority were oppressing the minority. The trial judge dismissed the application and awarded costs to the respondent (Bragg) applying Tariff A, Scale 5 and determined the amount involved at $\$ 1,000,000.00$.

The main appeal from the trial judge's dismissal of the application was dismissed.

The cost appeal was dismissed. The Court held the trial judge did not err in deciding the amount involved was $\$ 1,000,000.00$ nor did he err in applying Tariff A, Scale 5. Cases considered: Conrad v. Snair et al (1996), 150 N.S.R. (2d) 214; Benson v. Third Canadian General Investment Trust Ltd. (1993), 13 B.C.L. (2d) 265; Wallersteiner v. Moir (No. 2), [1975] 1 All E.R. (849 (C.A.).

The Appeal Court rejected the submission of the respondents that the costs award on appeal should be $40 \%$ of the costs awarded at trial. The Court concluded that under all the circumstances such an award would be excessive. The total costs awarded to the respective respondents for both appeals were as follows: Bragg - $\$ 4,000$ plus disbursements; Radchuck and Halifax Cable - $\$ 1,500$ plus disbursements; and, to the other Directors as a group - $\$ 1,500$ plus disbursements.
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