Dated: 19970520 Docket: CA 136544

NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL Cite as: Verreault Navigation Inc. v. Mallett and Associates. Engineering Ltd., 1997 NSCA 119

Chipman, Freeman and Flinn, JJ.A.

BETWEEN:	•	
VERREAULT NAVIGATION INC.)) Michael S. Ryan, Q.C.
	Appellant) and Richard S. Niedermayer)
- and -	•	
MALLETT AND ASSOCIATES ENGINEERING LIMITED		Stewart McInnes, Q.C. and and John Kulik
	Respondent	
	:)) Appeal Heard:) May 20, 1997)
)) Judgment Delivered:) May 20, 1997

THE COURT: The appeal is dismissed with costs as per oral reasons for judgment of Chipman, J.A.; Freeman and Flinn, JJ.A., concurring.

The reasons for judgment of the Court were delivered orally by:

CHIPMAN, J.A.:

This is an application for leave, and if granted, an appeal from a decision of Hood, J. in Chambers dismissing an application by a third party to strike out the third party

proceedings brought against it by the defendant/respondent.

The principles governing appellate review of an interlocutory order are well

established. Generally, the appellant must show that wrong principles of law were applied

or that a patent injustice resulted.

The principles governing a chambers judge on an application to strike out

pleadings are also well settled. Only the pleadings must be looked at to determine whether

the claim or defence advanced is or is not sustainable. Only if no arguable issue arises,

may the pleadings be struck out.

Here, the Chambers judge carefully dealt with three principal issues raised

by the appellant. In her well-reasoned judgment, with which we are in general agreement,

the Chambers judge concluded that the pleadings disclosed arguable issues which should

be left for trial.

We are therefore not satisfied that any reversible error was made by the

Chambers judge. Leave to appeal is granted and the appeal is dismissed with costs which

we fix at \$1,500 inclusive of disbursements payable forthwith.

Chipman, J.A.

Concurred in:

Freeman, J.A.

Flinn, J.A.