CASE NO. VOL. NO. PAGE

Cite as: R. v. O'Hara, 1997 NSCA 129

OAK MICHAEL O'HARA

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

(Appellant)- and - (Respondent)

C.A.C. No. 133153 Halifax, N.S. PUGSLEY, J.A.

APPEAL HEARD: March 26, 1997

JUDGMENT DELIVERED: June 10, 1997

**SUBJECT**: Criminal Law - S. 265(4) of the **Criminal Code** 

**SUMMARY:** The appellant appeals his conviction by a provincial court judge of

sexual assault contrary to s. 271(1)(a) of the Code.

The issue is whether the trial judge directed his mind to whether the appellant had an honest belief the victim consented to his actions.

**RESULT**: Appeal allowed and a new trial ordered. The trial judge, in failing to

consider the question of the appellant's honest belief in consent,

prevented proper consideration being given to the defence

advanced on behalf of the appellant.

This information sheet does not form part of the court's decision. Quotes must be from the decision, not this cover sheet. The full court decision consists of 5 pages.