

NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL  
Cite as: R. v. Sadler, 1996 NSCA 157

**Chipman, Matthews and Flinn, JJ.A.**

**BETWEEN:**

RICHARD JAMES DEAN SADLER

Appellant

)  
)  
) Appellant appeared  
) in person

- and -

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

Respondent

)  
)  
) Susan C. Potts  
) for the Respondent

)  
)  
) Appeal Heard:  
) May 29, 1996

)  
)  
) Judgment Delivered:  
) May 29, 1996

**THE COURT:**

The appeal is dismissed as per oral reasons for judgment of Chipman, J.A.; Matthews and Flinn, JJ.A., concurring.

The reasons for judgment of the Court were delivered orally by

**CHIPMAN, J.A.:**

This is an appeal from two convictions for assault and one for breach of

a condition of a recognizance. These convictions were made against the appellant in Provincial Court on October 2, 1995.

The conviction arising out of the information sworn on August 30, 1994, being a summary conviction matter is not properly before us.

We are unanimously of the view that the appellant has failed to show that he was inadequately represented at trial. We are not satisfied that there were any errors of his counsel, but for which the result at the trial would probably have been different.

We are not satisfied that the fresh evidence sought to be tendered by the appellant, even if admissible, could reasonably be expected to have affected the result.

We are of the opinion that the verdict was one which the trial judge, properly applying the law as he did and acting judicially as he did, could reasonably have been reached.

The appeal is dismissed.

Chipman, J.A.

Concurred in:

Matthews, J.A.

Flinn, J.A.