

NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL

Citation: *R. v. Frank*, 2013 NSCA 148

Date: 20131217

Docket: CAC 382020

Registry: Halifax

Between:

Robert Douglas Frank

Appellant

v.

Her Majesty the Queen

Respondent

Judge: Beveridge, J.A.

Appeal Heard: December 3, 2013, in Halifax, Nova Scotia

Subject: Criminal law

Summary: The appellant wanted a relationship with an acquaintance. When that did not happen, he tormented her and her family. Charges of criminal harassment were laid. He was not deterred. Twenty-three additional charges followed, of breach of no contact conditions in undertakings and recognizances and damage to property. Convictions were entered on 20 of those charges, and he was sentenced to incarceration.

Issues: Were the verdicts unreasonable or unsupported by the evidence

Result: There was ample evidence to support the verdicts. But for one minor slip in the wording of how one conviction was entered, the decision by the trial judge was without error. The request by the appellant for the appeal to be allowed so that he

can gather evidence to demonstrate he could not have committed some of the acts complained of is without merit. The slip by the trial judge was corrected by substituting a verdict pursuant to s. 686(3)(a) and affirming the sentence imposed.

This information sheet does not form part of the court's judgment. Quotes must be from the judgment, not this cover sheet. The full court judgment consists of 6 pages.