

The Court found that the Workers' Compensation Appeals Tribunal applied the benefit of the doubt provisions of s. 24 correctly. It concluded the decision on the application of the benefit of the doubt provisions to the facts is not patently unreasonable and that the conclusion of the Appeals Commissioner that Mr. Rose suffered a compensable injury in the course of his employment pursuant to s. 9 was not a reversible error.

Note: This is a former **Act** case.

This information sheet does not form part of the Court's decision. Quotes must be from the decision, not this cover sheet. The full court decision consists of 3 pages.