NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL Cite as: R. v. Sampson, 1995 NSCA 88

Chipman, Freeman and Bateman, JJ.A.

BETWEEN:		
JOSEPH MICHAEL SAMPSON		Gerald B. MacDonald
	Appellant) for the Appellant
- and -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN	Respondent	Denise C. Smith for the Respondent
	{	Appeal Heard:
	(May 11, 1995
	{	Judgment Delivered:
	\$	May 11, 1995

THE COURT: The appeal is dismissed as per oral reasons for judgment of Chipman, J.A.; Freeman and Bateman, JJ.A., concurring.

The reasons for judgment of the Court were delivered orally by

CHIPMAN, J.A.:

The appellant was convicted on three charges of sexual assault following a trial in the Supreme Court with a jury. He appeals to this Court on the sole ground that the verdict of the jury was unreasonable.

We have reviewed the record and, as required, have re-examined and reweighed the evidence and considered its effect. We have heard and considered the arguments of counsel for the appellant and the Crown.

We are satisfied that the jury, properly instructed, as it was, could reasonably have reached the verdicts that it did.

The appeal is therefore dismissed.

Chipman, J.A.

Concurred in:

Freeman, J.A.

Bateman, J.A.