## **NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL**

Citation: R. v. Wiles, 2004 NSCA 3

**Date:** 20040108

**Docket:** CAC 200952/200951

**Registry:** Halifax

**Between:** 

Her Majesty the Queen

Appellant

v.

Philip Neil Wiles

Respondent

**JUDGE:** Bateman, J.A.

**APPEAL HEARD:** November 28, 2003

**JUDGMENT DELIVERED:** January 8, 2004

**SUBJECT:** Offender convicted of two offences of producing marijuana

contrary to s. 7 of the **Controlled Drugs and Substances Act**, R.S.C. 1996, c. 19, as amended ("**CDA**"). Offender challenged constitutionality of the mandatory firearms prohibition required by s. 109(1)(c) of the **Criminal Code of Canada**, R.S.C. 1985,

c. C-46.

**SUMMARY:** Trial judge found, on application by the offender, that the

mandatory firearms prohibition, as applied to s. 7 **CDA** offenders, constituted cruel and unusual punishment in contravention of s. 12 of the **Charter**. Judge declined to

impose the firearms prohibition.

**ISSUE:** Crown appealed constitutional ruling.

**RESULT:** Appeal allowed. Section 109(1)(c) of the **Code** as applied to the

**CDA** does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment. Firearms prohibitions imposed for each offence in accordance with s. 109(2)

and (3) of the **Code**.

This information sheet does not form part of the court's judgment. Quotes must be from the judgment, not this cover sheet. The full court judgment consists of 21 pages.