Date: 19980109 Docket: C.A. 141637

NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL Cite as: R. v. M. D., 1998 NSCA 47

Chipman, Hart and Flinn, JJ.A.

BETWEEN:

M. D.) William M. Leahey) for the Appellant
Арре	,
- and - HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGH)) William D. Delaney) for the Respondent
OF THE PROVINCE OF NOVA SCOTI	,
Respor	ident) Appeal Heard:) January 9, 1998)
) Judgment Delivered:) January 9, 1998)
)))
)

Editorial Notice

Identifying information has been removed from this electronic version of the judgment.

<u>THE COURT:</u> Appeal dismissed per oral reasons for judgment of Flinn, J.A.; Hart and Chipman, JJ.A. concurring.

FLINN, J.A.: (Orally)

This is an appeal from the decision of Justice Hood of the Supreme Court of Nova Scotia on a *certiorari* application by the Crown. Justice Hood quashed an order of Provincial Court Judge Atton, requiring the Crown to pay solicitor and client costs to the appellant following the appellant's acquittal on a charge of sexual assault.

Judge Atton, immediately after rendering his decision of acquittal, agreed to hear, and granted, an application from defence counsel for relief under **s. 24(1)** of the **Charter**. The basis for the application was that the appellant's **s. 7 Charter** rights had been violated because of the improper exercise of prosecutorial discretion.

Justice Hood quashed the Order because defence counsel had not given the Crown appropriate notice that he intended to seek a **Charter** remedy, and, as a result, the Crown was not given the opportunity to call evidence with respect to the manner in which it exercised its prosecutorial discretion. In fact, Judge Atton did not permit the Crown to call such evidence, and his decision on the

Page 2

application was based on the evidence presented at the trial. Justice

Hood further decided that, without evidence of the improper exercise

of prosecutorial discretion, and without a finding by the trial judge of

the essential ingredients of abuse of discretion, the decision of Judge

Atton, awarding costs against the Crown, was without jurisdiction.

Having reviewed the proceedings of both courts below, as

well as the written submissions of counsel, and having heard counsel

for both parties, we are of the unanimous opinion that Justice Hood

made no reviewable error in quashing Judge Atton's order as to costs.

The appeal is, therefore, dismissed, without costs.

Flinn, J.A.

Concurred in:

Hart, J.A.

Chipman, J.A.

NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:		
M. D.	,	
- and - HER MAJESTY THE QUEE RIGHT OF THE PROVINCE NOVA SCOTIA	,	REASONS FOR JUDGMENT BY: FLINN, J.A. (Orally)
	Respondent)))))	