## IN THE PROVINCIAL COURT OF NOVA SCOTIA

Citation: R. v. Crawley, 2009 NSPC 72

**Date:** 2009 Dec 18 **Docket:** 1981202

**Registry:** Halifax

**Between:** 

Her Majesty the Queen

v.

Kyle Andrew Crawley

## LIBRARY HEADING

**Judge**: The Honourable Associate Chief Judge R. Brian Gibson

**Heard:** September 1, 2009 and November 2, 2009 in Dartmouth,

Nova Scotia

Written Decision: December 18, 2009

**Subject:** The accused, who was operating a motor vehicle at

Westphal, Nova Scotia on October 26, 2008 was charged with an offence contrary to S.259(4) of the **Criminal Code** for allegedly violating a driving prohibition order issued in Ontario on December 20, 2007 as a result of a conviction for an offence committed in Ontario contrary

to S.249.1 of the Criminal Code.

**Issue**: Whether the Crown, in proof of the S.259(4) charge, had

established that the Ontario Court of Justice, when issuing the Prohibition Order, complied with the requirements of S.260(1) of the **Criminal Code**.

**Result:** The Court, relying on R. v. Molina (2008) 231 C.C.C.

(3d) 193, held that proof of compliance with the

provisions of S.260(1) is a pre-condition for proof of a S.259(4) driving while disqualified charge. In this case the Crown failed to prove S.260(1) compliance leading to

a not guilty verdict. Also considered was whether the crown was entitled to rely upon the "presumption of regularity" to cure deficiencies in the Prohibition Order relative to the provision of full information about S.259(4) as required by S.260(1)(c). Held that in this case the Crown was not entitled to rely upon the "presumption of regularity".

THIS INFORMATION SHEET DOES NOT FORM PART OF THE COURT'S DECISION. QUOTES MUST BE FROM THE DECISION, NOT THIS LIBRARY SHEET.