## SUPREME COURT OF NOVA SCOTIA

**Citation:** Concrete Shoring Technologies v. Civil Tech Construction Ltd., 2014 NSSC 459

**Date:** 20140613

Docket: Hfx No. 409794

**Registry:** Halifax

**Between:** 

Concrete Shoring Technologies

**Plaintiff** 

v.

Civil Tech Construction Ltd.

Defendant

## LIBRARY HEADING

**Judge:** The Honourable Justice Patrick J. Murray

**Heard:** April 16, 2014 in Halifax, Nova Scotia

**Oral Decision:** June 13, 2014

Written Decision: March 10, 2015

**Subject:** Summary Judgment on the evidence. Civil Procedure Rule

13.04.

**Summary:** The Plaintiff, Concrete, sought Summary Judgment against

the Defendant, Civil Tech. At issue was whether Civil Tech

was responsible for damage to construction materials

(shoring) rented to it by Concrete. Also, at issue was whether all of the equipment had been returned. Competing affidavits

were filed by the principals of both companies.

**Issues:** Should summary judgement be granted?

**Result:** The Court found that Concrete failed to meet its evidentiary

burden on the first part of the two (2) stage test for summary judgment, because there were material facts in dispute. The

motion for summary judgment was therefore dismissed.

Caselaw: Burton Canada Company v. Coady, 2013 NSCA 95; AGC

Flat Glass North America Ltd. v. CCP Atlantic Specialty

Products Inc., [2010] NSJ 140.

THIS INFORMATION SHEET DOES NOT FORMPART OF THE COURT'S DECISION. QUOTES MUST BE FROM THE DECISION, NOT THIS LIBRARY SHEET.