## **SUPREME COURT OF NOVA SCOTIA** Citation: Jeffrie v. Hendriksen, 2011 NSSC 460

**Date:** 20111212 **Registry:** Halifax

**Docket:** Hfx. No. 346079

**Roderick Jeffrie** 

Applicant

v.

Anthony Hendriksen, Inland Marine Services Limited, Three Ports Fisheries Limited

Respondents

**Docket:** Hfx. No. 354159

**Between:** 

Three Ports Fisheries Limited

Applicants

v.

Roderick Jeffrie and H. Hopkins Limited

Respondents

## **LIBRARY HEADING**

Judge:	The Honourable Justice Peter P. Rosinski
Heard:	Motion by Correspondence
Subject:	Motion to reopen Decision to refuse consolidation of two applications in court, before Order signed and issued.
Summary:	Motion to Consolidate two applications in court was refused, but before the Order was signed, a Motion for

**Between:** 

	Reconsideration was filed. The argument was made that after a written decision refusing consolidation [and deciding costs] but before issuance of its order, circumstances had materially changed such, that the consolidation was now appropriate.
Issue:	Did the Court have jurisdiction to consider the issue and should the Court grant leave to reopen the Motion for Consolidation?
Result:	Leave to reopen Motion for Consolidation refused. The moving party had not established there was a material change in circumstances and that a balancing of the procedural and substantial injustice for both the parties necessitated reopening the Motion.

THIS INFORMATION SHEET DOES NOT FORM PART OF THE COURT'S DECISION. QUOTES MUST BE FROM THE DECISION, NOT THIS LIBRARY SHEET.