## SUPREME COURT OF NOVA SCOTIA

Citation: Smith v. Atlantic Wholesalers Ltd., 2012 NSSC 14

**Date:** 20120110

**Docket:** Syd No. 115217

Registry: Sydney

**Between:** 

**Beverly Smith** 

**Plaintiff** 

and

Atlantic Wholesalers Limited, carrying on business as Super Valu

Defendant

and

APM Construction Services Inc.

Third Party

## LIBRARY HEADING

Judge: The Honourable Justice Michael J. Wood

**Heard:** December 6, 2011 (in Chambers), in Halifax, Nova

Scotia

**Final Written** 

**Submissions:** December 13, 2011

**Written Decision:** January 10, 2012

**Subject:** Limitation of Actions - *Stare Decisis* 

**Summary:** The defendant sued the third party ten years after the

events giving rise to the plaintiff's claim. The third party

applied for summary judgment on the basis that the

limitation period had expired.

**Issue:** When does the limitation period begin to run for a third

party claim for contribution and indemnity?

**Result:** There is conflicting jurisprudence dealing with

commencement of limitation periods for third party indemnity claims. A 1977 Nova Scotia Court of Appeal

decision is contrary to an earlier Supreme Court of

Canada case on the issue. The Supreme Court of Canada decision should be applied as the Nova Scotia Court of Appeal decision was made *per incuriam*. As a result, the limitation for the third party action is the same as that for the plaintiff's claim against the party. Limitation period

had expired and third party action was dismissed.

THIS INFORMATION SHEET DOES NOT FORM PART OF THE COURT'S DECISION. QUOTES MUST BE FROM THE DECISION, NOT THIS LIBRARY SHEET.