## **SUPREME COURT OF NOVA SCOTIA**

Citation: R. v. Al-Mansoob, 2013 NSSC 79

**Date:** 20130206

Docket: Hfx No. 374560A

**Registry:** Halifax

**Between:** 

Her Majesty the Queen

Appellant

v.

Abdullah Al-Mansoob

Respondent

## LIBRARY HEADING

**Judge:** The Honourable Justice Michael J. Wood

**Heard:** February 6, 2013, in Halifax, Nova Scotia

Written Decision: March 6, 2013

Subject: Criminal Law - Refusal of Breathalyzer Demand -

section 254(5) of the Criminal Code

Summary Conviction Appeal - Standard of Review

**Summary:** The appellant was acquitted of a charge under s. 254(5)

of the *Code* on the basis that the trial judge found that the demand was made under the *Motor Vehicle Act* and

not the *Code*.

**Issue:** 

The basis for the judge's conclusion was the officer's testimony that the appellant's status as a new driver was a factor in his decision to make the demand.

Should the Crown's appeal be granted?

**Result:** 

The judge's decision was unreasonable because it did not address the discussions between the officer and the appellant which showed that the demand was being made under the *Code*. In addition, a demand for a breath sample can result in consequences under both the *Code* and the *Motor Vehicle Act* - these are not mutually exclusive.

Appeal allowed and conviction entered.

THIS INFORMATION SHEET DOES NOT FORM PART OF THE COURT'S DECISION. QUOTES MUST BE FROM THE DECISION, NOT THIS LIBRARY SHEET.