## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NOVA SCOTIA

Citation: Gardiner v. Gardiner, 2007 NSSC 282

**Date:** 2007/10/16 **Docket:** 1204-003919

Registry: Kentville

**Between:** D. Mark Gardiner

Petitioner

v.

Lisa Gardiner

Respondent

## LIBRARY HEADING

**Judge:** The Honourable Justice Walter R.E. Goodfellow

**Heard:** June 13, 2007 in Kentville, Nova Scotia

**Written Decision:** October 16, 2007 (*COSTS*)

**Subject:** Costs in Matrimonial Action

**Summary:** The petitioner was self-represented at the interim hearing and one day Divorce

trial. Solicitor sought solicitor and client costs arising out of the fact that the petitioner, a solicitor, was self-represented and somewhat lacking in objectivity.

**Issue:** Costs?

**Result:** No policy against costs in matrimonial matters. *Day v. Day* [1994] N.S.J. No. 112.

Factors to be considered are as outlined in *Grant v. Grant* 2002 N.S.S.F. 2. There were difficulties requiring additional time in several case management conferences, etc., they were primarily rooted in the extreme financial difficulties of Mr. Gardiner, who was trying to manage and operate a sole practitioner law office. While some additional time was required due to his being unrepresented, it did not reach the magnitude to award a costs consideration. See *Gilfoy et al v N. Bruce Kelloway* (2000), 184 N.S.R. (2d) 266. Mixed

success, determination, each party shall bear their own costs.

THIS INFORMATION SHEET DOES NOT FORM PART OF THE COURT'S DECISION.

QUOTES MUST BE FROM THE DECISION, NOT THIS LIBRARY SHEET.