## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NOVA SCOTIA

**Citation:** R. v. Carette, 2003 NSSC 202 **Date:** 20030815

**Docket:** SBW. 194898 **Registry:** Bridgewater

**Between:** 

Her Majesty the Queen

Appellant

v.

Louis Joseph Francois Carette

Respondent

## LIBRARY HEADING

**Judge**: The Honourable Justice C. Richard Coughlan

**Heard:** August 15, 2003 in Bridgewater

**Decision:** August 15, 2003 (Orally)

**Subject:** Summary Conviction Appeal

**Summary:** A stop work order was posted on the respondent's property pursuant to

the *Building Code Act*. Before the respondent had notice of the order, a carpenter employed by him continued to work on the site. Once the respondent had notice of the order, he ordered the work to stop.

Subsequently the respondent had the site boarded up.

**Issue:** 1. Whether the violation of the stop work order pursuant to the *Building* 

*Code Act* is a strict liability or absolute liability offence?

2. Was boarding up the site work necessary to make the site safe pursuant

to s. 12(6)(b) of the *Act*?

**Result:** Appeal dismissed. The offence was a strict liability offence. In fact the

respondent did not have notice of the stop work order and upon receiving notice stopped work. On the facts it was open to the trial judge to find the boarding up of the site was making the site safe pursuant to s. 12(6)(b) of

the Act.

THIS INFORMATION SHEET DOES NOT FORM PART OF THE COURT'S DECISION.

QUOTES MUST BE FROM THE DECISION, NOT THIS LIBRARY SHEET.