Claim No: <u>275161</u>

Date:20070330

## IN THE SMALL CLAIMS COURT OF NOVA SCOTIA

Cite as: Lajo v. Royal Canadian Legion 160, 2007 NSSM 48

RI	ŖΊ	$\Gamma \mathbf{V}$	VI	FΊ	Ľ.	N	•
	',	·	v 1	١,٠	1.7	•	_

Name	Donna Lajo and Nolan Clayton	Claimants
Name	Royal Canadian Legion 160	Defendant
phone	ed Decision: The text of the original decision has been revised to remove address of the parties on September 17, 2007. This decision replaces the prevented decision	
	DECISION	
(1)	The Claimants, Nolan Clayton and Donna Lajo, jointly claim the sum of \$2,000 Defendant, Royal Canadian Legion 160.	0.00 from the
(2)	The Defendants were the operator of a bingo on the evening of Sunday, Novem	ber 12, 2006.
(3)	The Claimants claim that they were the winners of the bonanza game on that	evening.
(4)	Having reviewed all of the evidence and the <u>Gaming Control Act</u> , S.N.S., Regulations, I find that the claim cannot succeed.	1994-95 and
(5)	The rules of the bonanza game are that the complete card must be filled in 59 less. As a result of human error on the part of the bingo caller, Ron Briggs, the believed that they had won on the 59 <sup>th</sup> number when in fact it was the 60 <sup>th</sup> number called.	he Claimants
(6)	The fact is that the Claimants did not win as 60 numbers had been called. As a Briggs' error, B13 was not manually signalled and thus did not appear on the	

behind Mr. Briggs. The number B13 did, however, appear on the Bonanza Board which is

lit when the balls are removed from the machine and placed in the tray.

(7)	I find as a fact that 60 numbers had been called since B13 was clearly marked off on Ms. Lajo's card.	
(8)	After both Mr. Clayton and Ms. Lajo called "Bingo", the floorwalker confirmed Mr. Clayton's card as valid, however, when Ms. Lajo's card was being checked, the error was noticed as Ms. Lajo's card contained B13.	
(9)	At that point, various patrons in the hall were claiming that 60 numbers had been called not 59. Upon a manual check by the bingo caller, it was confirmed that the patrons were in fact correct.	
(10)	The patrons have the right to ask for verification of the numbers called during the bingo game at any time (see Regulation $16(2)$ of the <u>Gaming Control Act</u> ). The game is not concluded until the bingo balls are returned to the bingo machine and the caller states clearly that the game is closed (see Regulation $16(1)(f)$ ).	
(11)	Although this was an unfortunate set of circumstances for Mr. Clayton particularly as it had been declared that his card was valid, nevertheless, the claim cannot succeed as the Claimants did not in fact win within the rules of the game. To declare them as winners would be unfair to the ultimate winners of the Bonanza game as the Bonanza game carries over and the prize accumulates each night and each week. Had Ms. Lajo's card been checked first, the error would have been discovered before Mr. Clayton was mistakenly told that his card was valid.	
(12)	The Claimants were properly awarded the consolation prize as they won the game on the $60^{\text{th}}$ number.	
(13)	For these reasons, the claim is dismissed.	
	at Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, arch 30, 2007.	
	Patrick L. Casey, Q.C., Adjudicator	

Original Court File Copy Claimant(s) Copy Defendant(s)